



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/448,991	11/24/1999	NEIL BEESLEY	130.1003	5687
23280	7590	09/23/2004	EXAMINER	
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC 485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10018			MOISE, EMMANUEL LIONEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/448,991	BEESLEY ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Emmanuel L. Moise	2136

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE \_\_\_\_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ .

***Response to Amendment***

1. This office action is responsive to Applicant's Response received on May 3, 2004. Claims 1-15 are pending. Claim 16 has been canceled.
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
3. The objection to the specification has been withdrawn. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 12 and 13, however, remains.

***Priority***

4. In the previous Office action Applicant was informed that no certified copy of the foreign application upon which foreign priority is claimed has been filed as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b) (See Paragraph #2 of the previous Office action). Applicant, however, has failed to address this issue in response to the Office action. In response to the present Office action, Applicant is requested to confirm whether a certified copy of the foreign application had actually been filed at the USPTO.

***Claim Objections***

5. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The functions or steps in the body of the claim should apparently be written in active forms, e.g., receiving a user-id ...; retrieving a shared secret ...; and transmitting said shared secret ..., for the claim to be positively recited. Appropriate correction is required.

Also, in claim 12, in line 6, "said fist security system" should apparently read as -- said first security system--.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

6. Claim 12 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krajewski, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No.5,590,199, hereinafter “Krajewski”).

See paragraph #'s 6 and 7 of the previous Office action.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. Claim 13 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krajewski (U.S. Patent No. 5,590,199).

See paragraph #'s 8 and 9 of the previous Office action.

***Response to Arguments***

8. Applicant's arguments filed on May 3, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the rejection of claim 12, Applicant argues that Krajewski does not describe nor teach or suggest at least the feature (a) of claim 1, i.e, Krajewski does not teach that a user-id is received from a second computer system and transmitted to said second computer system.

The Examiner disagrees. As mentioned in the previous Office action, Krajewski teaches a mechanism which requires users to identify and authenticate themselves once a trusted agent performs the necessary user identification and authentication to each accessed resource transparently (column 1, lines 47-50). Krajewski, in column 1, lines 44-46, also teaches that presenting separate user-id/password pairs can be both unwieldy and unsecured. This implies that Krajewski inherently teaches that a user-id is received from a second computer system and transmitted to said second computer system since Krajewski's trusted agent performs the

necessary user identification and authentication to each accessed resource transparently, which includes the above claimed limitations.

Moreover, Applicant argues that Krajewski provides no suggestion to a person of ordinary skill in the art for the feature (a) claim 12.

As discussed above, Krajewski inherently teaches the feature (a) of claim 12; therefore, no suggestion to a person of ordinary skill in the art is needed.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

9. Claims 1-11 and 14-15 are allowable over the prior art of record.

***Conclusion***

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel L. Moise whose telephone number is (703)305-9763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W (9:30-6:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on (703)305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Emmanuel L. Moise  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2136